(Downloaded from https://cs.stanford.edu/~knuth/programs.html and typeset on September 17, 2017)

1* Intro. Counting Krom functions on six elements that are nonisomorphic under permutations. (My program for n = 5 used a too-slow method; here I speed up by a factor of n!, I hope.)

I wrote this in a terrific hurry—sorry. The strategy is outlined in the next section below.

#define n 5 #define $nn \quad (1 \ll n)$ **#define** nfactorial 120 #define final_level nn /* the first element that is never in a solution */#define verbose (n < 5)#define log LOG /* get around bug in clang */ #define logl LOGL /* ditto */ #include <stdio.h> $\langle Preprocessor definitions \rangle$ char f[nn]; **unsigned char** *perm*[*nfactorial*][*nn*], *iperm*[*nfactorial*][*nn*]; /* perms and inverses */ /* links in the lists of permutations */**int** *link*[*nfactorial*]; /* heads of those lists */ int wait [nn]; /* permutations discarded at each level */ int disc[nn]; /* where we began shuffling perms at each level */int log0[nn], logl[nn]; int log[nfactorial * nn * 2];/* current position in log table */ int logptr; /* is this entry forced to be zero? */int forced [nn]; **int** *forcings*[*nfactorial*]; /* how many cases has this perm forced? */ unsigned int sols, tsols; $\langle \text{Subroutines 16} \rangle$ main() { register int d, j, k, l, m, p, q, t, auts; \langle Make the permutation tables $3 \rangle$; $\langle Put all permutations into wait[0] 4 \rangle;$ \langle Find all the solutions $2^* \rangle$; $printf("Altogether_\%d_solutions_(reduced_from_\%d).\n", sols + 1, tsols + 1);$ }

```
2 INTRO
```

```
2<sup>*</sup> (Find all the solutions 2^*) \equiv
  l = logptr = 0;
  auts = nfactorial;
newlevel: if (l \equiv final\_level) goto backtrack;
  logl[l] = logptr;
  if (verbose) {
     printf("Entering_level_l%x_l(%d_auts_so_far)\n", l, auts);
  if (forced[l]) {
     if (verbose) printf("_forced_rejection_of_%x\n",l);
     goto reject;
  \langle \text{Reject } l \text{ if it violates closure } 5^* \rangle;
  (Go through wait[l], trying to move it to wait[0]; but reject l if there's a conflict 9);
  f[l] = 1;
  if (verbose) printf("_accepting_%x\n",l);
  \langle \text{Update wait}[0] \text{ and count the automorphisms } 10 \rangle \langle \text{Record a solution } 6^* \rangle;
noqood: l ++;
  goto newlevel;
undo: \langle \text{Downdate wait}[0] | 13 \rangle;
  \langle \text{Reconstruct } wait[l] | 11 \rangle;
reject: f[l] = 0;
   \langle \text{Check for new forced moves } 14 \rangle;
  l++;
  goto newlevel;
backtrack: while (l > 0) {
     l --;
     if (f[l] \equiv 1) {
       if (verbose) printf("_now_rejecting_%x\n",l);
       goto undo;
     else \langle Uncheck for new forced moves 15 \rangle;
  }
  for (p = 1; p < nfactorial; p++)
     if (forcings[p]) printf("error: _forcings[%d]_not_restored_to_zero!\n", p);
  for (k = 1; k < nn; k++)
     if (forced[k]) printf("error:__forced[%x]__not__restored__to__zero!\n", k);
This code is used in section 1^*.
```

§3 KROM-COUNT

```
3. Algorithm 7.2.1.2T.
\langle Make the permutation tables 3 \rangle \equiv
  d = nfactorial \gg 1, perm[d][0] = 1;
  for (m = 2; m < n;) {
    m++, d = d/m;
    for (k = 0; k < nfactorial;) {
      for (k += d, j = m - 1; j > 0; k += d, j - ) perm[k][0] = j;
      perm[k][0]++, k += d;
      for (j++; j < m; k+=d, j++) perm[k][0] = j;
    }
  }
  for (j = 0; j < nn; j ++) perm[0][j] = j;
  for (k = 1; k < nfactorial; k++) {
    m = 1 \ll (perm[k][0] - 1);
    for (j = 0; j < nn; j ++) {
      d = perm[k-1][j];
      d \oplus = d \gg 1;
      d \&= m;
      d \mid = d \ll 1;
      perm[k][j] = perm[k-1][j] \oplus d;
    }
  }
  for (p = 0; p < nfactorial; p++)
    for (k = 0; k < nn; k++) iperm[p][perm[p][k]] = k;
```

This code is used in section 1^* .

4. (Put all permutations into wait[0] 4) ≡
for (p = 1; p < nfactorial; p++) link[p] = wait[0], wait[0] = p;
This code is used in section 1*.

5* $\langle \text{Reject } l \text{ if it violates closure } 5^* \rangle \equiv$ for (j = 1; j < l; j + +)if (f[j])for (d = 0; d < j; d + +)if $(f[d]) \{$ $t = (d \& j) \mid (d \& l) \mid (j \& l);$ if $(t < l \land \neg f[t]) \{$ if $(verbose) \ printf("_rejecting_%x_for_median\n", l);$ goto reject; }

} /* may be the median was bigger than l; then I can't reject yet */ This code is used in section 2*.

4 INTRO

```
\langle \text{Record a solution } 6^* \rangle \equiv
6*
  {
     for (k = 2; k \le l; k++)
       if (f[k])
          for (j = 1; j < k; j ++)
             if (f[j])
                for (d = 0; d < j; d ++)
                  if (f[d] \land \neg f[(d \& j) | (d \& k) | (j \& k)]) goto nogood;
     sols ++;
     tsols += nfactorial/auts;
     if (n < 6) {
       printf("%d:", sols);
       for (j = 0; j < nn; j ++)
          if (f[j]) printf (" \sqcup \% x", j);
       printf("_{\sqcup}(\d_{\sqcup}aut\s)\n", auts, auts > 1 ? "s" : "");
     }
  }
```

This code is used in section 2^* .

§7 KROM-COUNT

7. The interesting part. When writing this program, I didn't have to work nearly as hard as I did in GROPESX (a program for algebraic structures that I wrote a few months ago). But still there are a few nontrivial points of interest as the permutations get shuffled from list to list.

In fact, I tried to get away with a more substantial simplification. It failed miserably.

In actual fact, I was tearing my hair out for awhile, because I couldn't believe that this would be so complicated. Maybe some day I'll learn the right way to tackle this problem.

8. The basic idea is simple: Each closure operation corresponds to a sequence $(f[0], \ldots, f[nn-2])$ with the property that f[j] = f[k] = 1 implies f[j & k] = 1. This program produces only canonical solutions, namely solutions with the property that $(f[0], \ldots, f[nn-1])$ is lexicographically greater than or equal to $(f[p_0], \ldots, f[p_{nn-1}])$ for all perms p. (These perms are permutations of the bits; for example, if $p_1 = 2$ and $p_2 = 4$ then $p_3 = 6$.)

At level l, I've set the values of $(f[0], \ldots, f[l-1])$. All perms live in various lists: If $(f[0], \ldots, f[l-1])$ is known to be lexicographically greater than $(f[p_0], \ldots, f[p_{l-1}])$, the perm p is in a discard list; otherwise p is in a waiting list. List *wait*[0] has all the current automorphisms: These perms permute the current 1s $\{j \mid 0 \leq j < l \text{ and } f[j] = 1\}$. Furthermore, for all subscripts k < l such that f[k] = 0 and $p_k > l$, the future values p_k are marked so as to force $f[p_k] = 0$. Finally, the waiting lists *wait*[k] for $l \leq k < nn$ contain elements j < l such that f[j] = 1 and $p_j = k$ and $f[i] = f[p_i]$ for $0 \leq i < j$. When level k comes along, such perms will effectively be discarded if f[k] is set to 0; but if f[k] is set to 1, they will move to other lists.

The forcings were what caused me grief. I didn't want to have an elaborate data structure that showed exactly who was forcing whom, because that was very difficult to maintain under backtracking. The solution I found, shown below, is not terrifically easy, but it certainly is better than anything else I could think of. Basically *forcings*[p] counts the number of places where p has forced a future value k; and *forced*[k] counts the number of places where p has forced a future value k; and *forced*[k] counts the number of perms that have forced that value. These counts can, fortunately, be maintained by doing local operations, as we see for how many levels each perm remains relevant.

6 THE INTERESTING PART

9. Okay, now let me write the most critical part of the program. At this point in the computation we are planning to set f[l] = 1. But we may have to abandon that plan, if "immediate rejection" would result. (Immediate rejection occurs when setting f[l] = 1 unhides a lexicographically superior solution.)

The log table records what we do here, so that it can be undone later. Entries on the log are of two kinds: A negative entry stands for a permutation that was "discarded" because it is no longer active. A nonnegative entry k stands for a permutation that moved to wait[k]. In either case, entry log[t] identifies the destination of a permutation that came from wait[0] if $t \ge log0[l]$, otherwise from wait[l].

(Go through wait[l], trying to move it to wait[0]; but reject l if there's a conflict 9) \equiv

```
for (p = wait[l], wait[l] = 0; p; p = q) {
  q = link[p];
  for (k = iperm[p][l] + 1; k < l; k++) {
     {\bf if} \ (f[k] \equiv 0 \wedge iperm[p][k] < iperm[p][l]) \ forcings[p] - ; \\
    j = perm[p][k];
    if (j < l) {
       if (f[j] \equiv f[k]) continue;
       if (f[k] \equiv 0) (Reject l immediately 12);
       log[logptr++] = -j, link[p] = disc[l], disc[l] = p;
                                                             /* discard p */
       goto nextp;
     } else if (f[k] \equiv 1) {
       log[logptr++] = j, link[p] = wait[j], wait[j] = p;
       for (j = k - 1; j > iperm[p][l]; j - -)
         if (f[j] \equiv 0 \land perm[p][j] > k \land perm[p][j] < l) forcings [p] + ;
       goto nextp;
     } else {
       if (verbose) printf("_f[%x]=1_will_force_f[%x]=0\n",j);
       forcings[p] ++, forced[j] ++;
     }
  log[logptr++] = 0, link[p] = wait[0], wait[0] = p;
nextp: continue;
}
```

This code is used in section 2^* .

10. After we've made it through wait[l], we are able to set f[l] = 1. The items of wait[0] might now be automorphisms, or they might need to be moved to other waiting lists.

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle \text{Update } wait[0] \text{ and count the automorphisms } 10 \rangle \equiv \\ log0[l] = logptr; \\ \textbf{for } (auts = 1, p = wait[0], wait[0] = 0; p; p = q) \\ q = link[p]; \\ j = perm[p][l]; \\ \textbf{if } (j \equiv l) \text{ goto } retain_it; \\ \textbf{else if } (j > l) \ log[logptr ++] = j, link[p] = wait[j], wait[j] = p; \\ \textbf{else if } (f[j] \equiv 0) \ log[logptr ++] = -1, link[p] = disc[l], disc[l] = p; \\ \textbf{else goto } retain_it; \\ \textbf{continue;} \\ retain_it: \ log[logptr ++] = 0, link[p] = wait[0], wait[0] = p; \\ auts ++; \\ \end{array} \right\}$

This code is used in section 2^* .

§11 KROM-COUNT

11. Here I've made a point to "undo" in precisely the reverse order of what I "did," so that lists are perfectly restored to their former condition.

The label *kludge* is one of my trademarks, I guess: It's a place in the middle of nested loops, which just happens to be the place we want to jump when doing an immediate rejection.

```
\langle \text{Reconstruct } wait[l] | 11 \rangle \equiv
  t = 0;
  while (logptr > logl[l]) {
     j = log[--logptr];
     if (j < 0) {
        p = disc[l], disc[l] = link[p], k = iperm[p][-j];
        if (f[k] \equiv 0 \land iperm[p][k] < iperm[p][l]) forcings[p] + :
     } else if (j > 0) {
        p = wait[j], wait[j] = link[p], k = iperm[p][j];
        for (j = k - 1; j > iperm[p][l]; j - -)
          if (f[j] \equiv 0 \land perm[p][j] > k \land perm[p][j] < l) forcings [p] - -;
     } else p = wait[0], wait[0] = link[p], k = l;
     link[p] = t, t = p, k - -;
     while (k > iperm[p][l]) {
        j = perm[p][k];
        if (j > l \land f[k] \equiv 0) forcings [p] --, forced [j] --;
     kludge: if (f[k] \equiv 0 \land iperm[p][k] < iperm[p][l]) forcings[p] \leftrightarrow;
        k - -;
     }
  }
                     /* I think it's "all together now" */
  wait [l] = t;
```

This code is used in section 2^* .

```
12. \langle \text{Reject } l \text{ immediately } 12 \rangle \equiv \begin{cases} \\ t = p; \\ \text{goto } kludge; \end{cases}
```

This code is used in section 9.

```
13. \langle \text{Downdate wait}[0] | 13 \rangle \equiv t = 0;

while (logptr > log0[l]) \{

j = log[--logptr];

if (j < 0) \ p = disc[l], disc[l] = link[p];

else p = wait[j], wait[j] = link[p];

link[p] = t, t = p;

\}

wait[0] = t;

This code is used in section 2*.
```

8 THE INTERESTING PART

```
14. 〈Check for new forced moves 14〉 =
for (auts = 1, p = wait[0]; p; p = link[p]) {
    j = perm[p][l];
    if (j > l) {
        if (verbose) printf("_forcing_Lf[%x]=0\n",j);
        forcings[p]++, forced[j]++;
    }
    if (iperm[p][l] < l) forcings[p]--;
    if (verbose) auts++;
}</pre>
```

This code is used in section 2^* .

```
15. \langle \text{Uncheck for new forced moves } 15 \rangle \equiv
for (p = wait[0]; p; p = link[p]) \{
j = perm[p][l];
if (j > l) \{
forcings[p]--, forced[j]--;
\}
if (iperm[p][l] < l) forcings[p]++;
\}
```

This code is used in section 2^* .

16. Finally, here's a routine that documents the main invariant relations that I expect to be true when this program enters level l. (The *sanity* routine sure did prove to be useful when I was debugging the twisted logic above.)

```
\langle \text{Subroutines } 16 \rangle \equiv
  int timestamp;
  int stamp[nfactorial];
  void sanity(int l)
  ł
     register c, j, jj, k, p;
     if (l \equiv 0) return;
     timestamp ++;
     \langle Sanity check the wait lists 17\rangle;
      \langle Sanity check the discard lists 18\rangle;
      \langle \text{Sanity check } wait[0] | 19 \rangle;
     for (p = 1; p < nfactorial; p++)
        if (stamp[p] \neq timestamp) {
           printf("error:\_perm_{\sqcup}%d_{\bot}has_{\sqcup}disappeared!\n", p);
           goto error_exit;
        }
     return;
  error_exit: printf("(Detected_at_level_%x)\n",l); return;
  }
This code is used in section 1^*.
```

```
17. \langle Sanity check the wait lists 17 \rangle \equiv
  for (k = l; k < nn; k++)
    for (p = wait[k]; p; p = link[p]) {
      stamp[p] = timestamp;
      jj = iperm[p][k];
      if (f[jj] \neq 1) {
        printf("error:\_wait[%x]\_contains\_noncritical\_perm_%d!\n", k, p);
        goto error_exit;
      for (j = c = 0; ; j ++) {
        if (perm[p][j] > jj) {
          if (f[j] \equiv 0) c ++;
          else if (perm[p][j] \equiv k) break;
        } else if (f[j] \neq f[perm[p][j]]) {
          perm[p][j]);
          goto error_exit;
        }
      }
      if (c \neq forcings[p]) {
        printf("error:\_forcings[%d]\_is_%d,\_not_%d,\_in\_wait[%x]!\n", p, forcings[p], c, k);
        goto error_exit;
      }
    }
```

This code is used in section 16.

10 THE INTERESTING PART

18. The wait lists wait[k] for $1 \le k < l$ are essentially discards too, because we've set f[k] = 0. I don't check the *forcings* count in disc[k], because the perms in such lists don't satisfy the same invariants as other perms.

```
\langle Sanity check the discard lists 18 \rangle \equiv
  for (k = 1; k < l; k++) {
    for (p = disc[k]; p; p = link[p]) {
       stamp[p] = timestamp;
       for (jj = 0; jj < l; jj ++)
         if (f[jj] \neq f[perm[p][jj]]) break;
       if (jj \equiv l) {
         printf("error:\_disc[%x]\_contains\_the\_nondiscardable\_perm_%d!\n", k, p);
         goto error_exit;
       }
       if (f[jj] \equiv 0) {
         printf("error:\_disc[%x]\_contains\_the\_counterexample\_perm_%d!\n", k, p);
         goto error_exit;
       }
    }
    for (p = wait[k]; p; p = link[p]) {
       stamp[p] = timestamp;
       for (jj = 0; jj < l; jj ++)
         if (f[jj] \neq f[perm[p][jj]]) break;
       if (jj \equiv l) {
         printf("error:\_wait[%x]\_contains\_the\_nondiscardable\_perm_%d!\n", k, p);
         goto error_exit;
       }
       if (f[jj] \equiv 0) {
         printf ("error:_wait[%x]_contains_the_counterexample_perm_%d!\n", k, p);
         goto error_exit;
       for (j = c = 0; j < jj; j ++)
         if (perm[p][j] > jj \land f[j] \equiv 0) c++;
       if (c \neq forcings[p]) {
         printf("error:\_forcings[%d]\_is\_%d,\_not\_%d,\_in\_wait[%x]!\n", p, forcings[p], c, k);
         goto error_exit;
       }
    }
  }
```

This code is used in section 16.

```
§19 KROM-COUNT
```

```
19. \langle Sanity check wait [0] 19 \rangle \equiv
   for (p = wait[0]; p; p = link[p]) {
      stamp[p] = timestamp;
     for (c = j = 0; j < l; j ++) {
        if (f[j] \neq f[perm[p][j]]) {
           if (f[j] \equiv 0) {
              printf("error:\_wait[0]\_contains\_the\_counterexample\_perm_%d!\n", k, p);
              goto error_exit;
           }
           \textit{printf} (\texttt{"error:} \texttt{wait[0]} \texttt{contains} \texttt{the} \texttt{discardable} \texttt{perm} \texttt{M}\texttt{d!} \texttt{n"}, k, p);
        }
        if (perm[p][j] \ge l) \ c++;
      }
     if (c \neq forcings[p]) {
        printf("error: \_forcings[%d] \_ is \_%d, \_ not \_%d, \_ in \_wait[0]! \n", p, forcings[p], c);
        goto error_exit;
      }
   }
```

This code is used in section 16.

The following sections were changed by the change file: 1, 2, 5, 6, 20.

```
auts: \underline{1}^*, \underline{2}^*, \underline{6}^*, \underline{10}, \underline{14}.
backtrack: \underline{2}^*.
c: 16.
d: \underline{1}^*
disc: \underline{1}^*, 9, 10, 11, 13, 18.
error_exit: 16, 17, 18, 19.
f: 1^*
final_level: \underline{1}^*, \underline{2}^*
forced: \underline{1}^*, 2^*, 8, 9, 11, 14, 15.
forcings: \underline{1}^*, \underline{2}^*, \underline{8}, \underline{9}, \underline{11}, \underline{14}, \underline{15}, \underline{17}, \underline{18}, \underline{19}.
iperm: \underline{1}^*, 3, 9, 11, 14, 15, 17.
j: 1^*, 16.
jj: 16, 17, 18.
k: 1^*, 16.
kludge: \underline{11}, \underline{12}.
l: 1^*, 16.
link: \underline{1}^*, 4, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19.
LOG: 1*
log: \underline{1}^*, 9, 10, 11, 13.
logl: 1^*, 2^*, 11.
LOGL: 1^*.
logptr: 1^*, 2^*, 9, 10, 11, 13.
\mathit{log0}\colon \ \underline{1},^* \ 9, \ 10, \ 13.
m: <u>1</u>*
main: \underline{1}^*
n: \underline{1}^*
newlevel: \underline{2}^*
nextp: \underline{9}.
nfactorial: 1^*, 2^*, 3, 4, 6^*, 16.
nn: \underline{1}^*, \underline{2}^*, \underline{3}, \underline{6}^*, \underline{8}, \underline{17}.
nogood: \underline{2}^*, \underline{6}^*
p: 1^*, 16.
perm: \underline{1}^*, 3, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19.
printf: 1^*, 2^*, 5^*, 6^*, 9, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19.
q: \underline{1}^*
reject: \underline{2}^*, 5^*
retain_it: \underline{10}.
sanity: \underline{16}.
sols: \underline{1}^*, \underline{6}^*.
stamp: <u>16</u>, 17, 18, 19.
t: 1^*
timestamp: 16, 17, 18, 19.
tsols: 1^*, 6^*.
undo: \underline{2}^*.
verbose: 1^*, 2^*, 5^*, 9, 14.
wait: \underline{1}^*, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19.
```

KROM-COUNT

 \langle Check for new forced moves 14 \rangle Used in section 2*.

 $\langle \text{Downdate } wait[0] 13 \rangle$ Used in section 2*.

 \langle Find all the solutions $2^* \rangle$ Used in section 1^* .

(Go through wait[l], trying to move it to wait[0]; but reject l if there's a conflict 9) Used in section 2*.

 \langle Make the permutation tables $3 \rangle$ Used in section 1^* .

(Put all permutations into $wait[0] | 4 \rangle$ Used in section 1*.

- $\langle \text{Reconstruct } wait[l] 11 \rangle$ Used in section 2*.
- $\langle \text{Record a solution } 6^* \rangle$ Used in section 2*.
- $\langle \text{Reject } l \text{ if it violates closure } 5^* \rangle$ Used in section 2*.
- $\langle \text{Reject } l \text{ immediately } 12 \rangle$ Used in section 9.
- \langle Sanity check the discard lists $18 \rangle$ Used in section 16.
- \langle Sanity check the wait lists 17 \rangle Used in section 16.
- $\langle \text{Sanity check } wait[0] 19 \rangle$ Used in section 16.
- \langle Subroutines 16 \rangle Used in section 1^{*}.
- \langle Uncheck for new forced moves 15 \rangle Used in section 2^{*}.
- $\langle \text{Update wait}[0] \text{ and count the automorphisms } 10 \rangle$ Used in section 2*.

KROM-COUNT

Section	Page
Intro 1	1
The interesting part	5
Index	12